Attorney Docket No. 3495.0111 Customer No. 22,852

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Application of:	. )	1		
		)	Group A	art Unit: 1633	
Berna	ard DUJON et al.	)	ı		
٠.	127 00/04/400	)	Examine	er: KAUSHAL, S.	
Seria	l No.: 09/244,130	)	l		
Filed	: February 4, 1999	)		. 7	
For:	NUCLEOTIDE SEQUE	ENCE ENCODING		6 9 C	
	THE ENZYME I-SCELA		EREOF		
Assis	stant Commissioner for Pa	tents		THE STATE OF	
Wash	nington, D.C. 20231			( S )	<u>ر</u>
Sir:				000	
311.	RE	SPONSE TO PAPER	2 NO 15	6	
ALDI GIOL TO TATER NO. 13					

Sir:

## **RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 15**

In response to the Office Action dated April 11, 2001 (Paper No. 15), the period for response to which has been extended by filing a petition for three month extension of time concurrently herewith, applicants submit the following remarks.

## REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Applicants thank Examiner Kaushal and Primary Examiner Clark for the courtesy of the Interview on June 20, 2001.

Claims 53-57 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15, 28-30, and 32 of copending Application No. 08/643,732. Since this is a provisional rejection, applicants respectfully request that the rejection be held in abeyance.

Claims 48-93 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for allegedly containing subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to

LAW OFFICES FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT, & DIINNER, L. L. P. 1300 I STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 202-408-4000